

# **Vision**

A co-developed set of models that show water quality and quantity for the Waikato and Waipa Rivers. These dynamic models will help give effect to Te Ture Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato and inform the Report Card Taura attributes.

# Benefits of funding the project

#### Protection of the Awa

Models enable prediction of how resource use affects the river, and how to improve and protect river health. By supporting the modelling, partner organisations will contribute to the health of the river.

#### **Reduced costs**

A collective modelling approach will reduce costs to individual contributing organisations because costs are shared. Partner organisations will obtain access to a range of models and model predictions.

### Ongoing development

A range of parties will be able to extend and adapt the model for their needs in the future, including research students.

#### Increased collaboration and confidence

By sharing models there will be less duplication of effort, competition between parties will be reduced, and increased collaboration will allow more to be achieved overall. The use of free software where appropriate means that a wider range of parties can engage in model development and applications. Opensource software lends greater confidence in the model due to transparency.

#### Improved data

Collation of data required for modelling will have multiple benefits beyond providing inputs to models (for example, facilitating data visualisation and reporting activities external to the modelling process); in return, modelling provides additional justification for data collection and dissemination.

















## **CONTAMINANT GENERATION:**

Generates loads and concentrations of contaminants entering streams or groundwater over time as a function of climate, soils, land use, and land management.







### **MAINSTEM WATER QUALITY:**

Predicts water quality in the river mainstem given contaminant inputs. Used in conjunction with flow routing.



## **GROUNDWATER QUALITY AND** QUANTITY:

Determines groundwater levels and quality, subsurface flow pathways, and discharge to streams. Quantity model could be used alone if only flows are of interest.



## **RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY:**

Estimates water quality in reservoirs given the inflows and flow rates and climate. Used in conjunction with reservoir hydrodynamic models. Can account for stratification and longitudinal variation of water quality.



## WATER AVAILABILITY AND ALLOCATION:

Combines rainfall-runoff models, water demand models, water allocation, reservoir operation and abstraction rules to determine water availability and reliability over time and through a catchment. Some forms optimise water use allocations.





# Model components, timing and costs

There were 13 model components initially identified throughout the consultation process with potential partners and stakeholders, which have been prioritised through workshops and documented in reports (scoping report, prioritisation background, prioritisation results and work plan). These highest-priority components along with associated uses, preliminary estimated costs and timeframes are outlined below:

Model	components	Potential uses	Timeframe and costs	Taura attributes addressed
<b>A</b>	CONTAMINANT GENERATION	<ul><li>Regional plans</li><li>Limit setting</li><li>Assessing benefits of rehabilitation</li><li>Assessment of large consents</li></ul>	2 – 5 years  Cost: Modelling, including application, training, development \$500k. New data acquisition \$300k	Kai, Water quality, Experience, Ecological integrity
	GROUNDWATER QUALITY AND QUANTITY	<ul> <li>Regional plans</li> <li>Assessment of large consents</li> <li>Assessing impacts of nitrogen loss mitigation, including spatial aspects</li> <li>Improving water resources models, especially low flow prediction</li> </ul>	2 – 5 years  Cost: Modelling, including application, training, development \$250k	Kai, Water quality, Experience, Ecological integrity, Water security
	MAINSTEM WATER QUALITY	<ul> <li>Understanding how inputs of nutrients and microbes, and associated mitigation, affect mainstem river quality</li> <li>Understanding how flow abstractions affect water quality</li> <li>Understanding how imported water affects water quality</li> <li>Understanding the risks of algal blooms</li> <li>Can reservoir operation be modified to reduce risks of blooms?</li> </ul>	2 – 5 years  Cost: Modelling, including application, training, development \$350k  Cost: New data acquisition \$300k	Water quality, Experience, Ecological integrity, Water security
	RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY:	<ul> <li>River rehabilitation</li> <li>Limit setting (quality and flow)</li> <li>Refining reservoir operation regimes</li> <li>Large consents</li> <li>Forecasting water quality (with an additional forecasting model)</li> </ul>	2 – 5 years  Cost: Modelling, including application, training, development (preliminary estimate) \$300k  Cost: New data acquisition (preliminary estimate) \$400k	Water quality, Experience, Ecological integrity, Water security
D <sup>‡</sup>	WATER AVAILABILITY AND	Development of water management options, including participatory	2 – 4 years  Cost: Modelling,	Water security, Water quality



- approaches
- · Regional plan and rule development
- · Basis for assessing large-scale consents

including application, training, development \$350k

# **Overarching project components**

Data platform and hosting – Several of the proposed models will use common data, so it would be best to collate and deliver such data in a structured and organised way. Model results could also be stored in a structured way so that they can be retrieved and displayed by multiple parties. A workstream would be responsible for collating and hosting datasets. A budget of \$300k is suggested for this task.

Students and building capacity – The proposed set of models will require people to build and apply models. It is envisaged that capacity will need to be extended to provide the necessary skillset in the long term. Considering that advanced skillsets are required, it is desirable to fund university students to conduct research on model development and application. We will also be looking to enhance Iwi capability and capacity in modelling in the process. A budget of 450k is suggested for two PhD students.

**Model hosting** – Costs will be associated with data licences, software licences for some proprietary models, and software support. A budget of \$100k is suggested for this task, but this will be dependent on the number of models and licences required.

Governance hosting and management – Institutional arrangements will be required to address roles such as co-ordinating and managing workstreams, contracting and managing delivery, providing technical system-level leadership, organising training, managing steering groups and technical workshops, and providing communication about the project such as aims, progress and outcomes. A budget of \$225k is suggested.

## **Next steps**

To move forward with this project, we are seeking funding to develop the model components in a prioritised way in collaboration with our key partners.



If you want to discuss becoming a partner in the Waikato Dynamic Models project, please contact Sandy Elliot on Sandy. Elliott@niwa.co.nz.

To see a web-based version of this document and to access the project background reports, please visit:

niwa.co.nz/freshwater/waikato-dynamic-model

